



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/468,581	12/20/1999	TAKASHI MNAMI	200133-20007	3801	
26021 75	90 03/26/2004		EXAMI	NER	
HOGAN & HARTSON L.L.P. 500 S. GRAND AVENUE			TRAN, HENRY N		
SUITE 1900			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGELES, CA 90071-2611			2674	0.7	
			DATE MAILED: 03/26/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/468,581	MNAMI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	HENRY N TRAN	2674				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1/21/6	04 and RCE 3/3/04.					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 3-10,13-15 and 17-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 3-10,13-15 and 17-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 20 December 1999 is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/468,581

Art Unit: 2674

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 1/21/04 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 3,9-10, 13, 15 and 17-24 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Milner et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,339,410, hereinafter referred to as "Milner") in view of Gouko (U.S. Patent No. 6,222,507).

Milner teaches generally all, including, the first supporting member comprising the two arms 34 and 68 for connecting to both the second display device 30 and the second support member comprising supports 60, 70 and 72; as discussed in section 3 of the Final Office action mailed 11/03/03 (Paper No. 18). Gouko teaches a second display device 3 having a display screen smaller than that of the first display device 2 as discussed in section 3 of the Final Office action. Although Milner does not teach "a single arm" for directly connecting to both the second display device as claimed in the amended base claims 3, 13 and 17. It would have been obvious

Application/Control Number: 09/468,581

Art Unit: 2674

to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the teachings of Gouko in the Milner device for producing the claimed invention; wherein, "a single arm" instead of "the two arms 34 and 68" of the Milner device is used. The motivation for doing so was provided in the said Office action; also, the use of a single arm instead of the two arms would provide a simple connection, which is easily to modify. Claims 3,9-10, 13, 15 and 17-24 are therefore stand rejected.

- 3. Claims 4, 6 and 14 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Milner in view of Gouko, hereinafter referred to as "Milner-Gouko", as applied to claims 3 and 13, and further in view of Crossland et al (U.S. Patent No. 4,720,781, hereinafter referred to as "Crossland") as discussed in sections 7-10 of the Final Office action noted above.
- 4. Claim 5 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Milner-Gouko in view of Crossland, hereinafter referred to as "Milner-Gouko-Crossland", as applied to claim 4, and further in view of Register (U.S. Patent No. 5,590,021), as discussed in section 8 of the Final Office action.
- 5. Claims 7 and 8 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over "Milner-Gouko-Crossland" as applied to claims 1, 4 and 6, and further in view of Fowler (U.S. Patent No. 6,302,612) as discussed in sections 12-14 of the Final Office action.

Application/Control Number: 09/468,581

Art Unit: 2674

Response to Arguments

Page 4

6. Applicant's arguments filed 1/21/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Because they basically rely upon the newly added claim terms "a single arm" in the base claims 3, 13 and 17, which is addressed in section 2 discussed above.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HENRY N. TRAN whose telephone number is 703-308-8410. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon – Fri from 8:00AM – 4:30PM.

If attempts to read the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, RICHARD A. HJERPE, can be reached at 703-305-4709.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or fax to:

703-872-9306

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Art Unit: 2674

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is 703-306-0377.

HENRY N. TRAN

Harry N. Tran

Examiner

Art Unit 2674

Hnt

March 18, 2004